

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/798,646 | Applicant(s) YADAV ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Louis K. Huynh | Art Unit 3721 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Louis K. Huynh.

(3) Mr. John Brannon (Reg. No. 44,557).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: NONE.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.


Applicant's attorney requested clarification of the second Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed 02/23/2006. A review of the record has found that the second Notice of Non-Compliance Amendment, which was supposed to be responsive to the amendment filed 02/14/2006, was incorrectly indicated as being responsive to the Amendment filed 01/26/2006 and was crossed in the mail with the third amendment filed 02/17/2006. The review of the record has also found that the third amendment was in compliance and timely.

As a result:

The Notice of Non-Compliance mailed 02/23/2006 is hereby corrected to be responsive to the Amendment filed 02/17/2006; and

The Amendment filed 02/17/2006 has been accepted and entered.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required